

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/608,578	06/25/2003	Chih-Ching Hsien	PUSAO 30603	8394		
7590 10/17/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Chih-Ching I		DAVIS, OCTAVIA L				
235 Chung-Ho Box 8-24			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Taipei,			2855			
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005	DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					———— H.H.			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/608,578		HSIEN, CHIH-CHIN	IG			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Octavia Dav		2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, y within the statutor, will apply and will ex	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) day: spire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/18/	<u>/05</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,2 and 4-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2003</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Specific Specif	a) accepted accepted a drawing(s) be stion is required	held in abeyance. Se if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d). O-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been its have been prity documen au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicat ts have been receiv 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage			
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 ier No(s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D Interview Summary Other:)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/608,578

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becker (6,070,506) in view of Gitis et al.

Regarding claim 3, Becker discloses a ratchet head electronic torque wrench comprising a main body 11 and a strain gauge 45, 47 mounted in the main body, the main body being hollow and including a handle portion 29 and a drive portion 28 located at a distal end of the handle portion, and the hollow interior being extended through the handle portion 29 and the drive portion 28 and a whole length of the handle portion and the drive portion and the strain gages 45, 47 being within the hollow interior (See Col. 3, lines 1 – 43, See Figs. 1 and 3) but does not disclose that two ends of the opening are expanded to have larger areas than another portion of the opening. However, Gitis et al disclose a method and device for measuring forces comprising a beam structure 212 wherein ends (222, 224, 226, 228) of openings 218, 220 are expanded to have larger areas that the other portion of the opening (See Col. 3, lines 30 – 40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Becker according to the teachings of Gitis et al for the purpose of,

Art Unit: 2855

providing an assembly of two deformation sensitive sensors for simultaneous equal deformation in two opposite directions for eliminating misbalance created in the measurement system and transmitting forces between a plurality of plates while ensuring limited freedom of movement between the plates to allow deformations caused by the applied forces (See Gitis et al, Col. 2, lines 49 - 67).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to these claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Octavia Davis at telephone number (571) 272 - 2176. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxiflex.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,578 Page 4

Art Unit: 2855

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz, can be reached on (571) 272 - 2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 – 9306.

OD/2855

Laura Dans

10/7/05

MAX NOORI PRIMARY EXAMINER